SC NAACP v. Alexander, D.S.C. Case No. 3:21-cv-03302-MGL-TJH-RMG

## EXHIBIT 8

		Page 1				
1	UNITEI	STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	FOR THE I	DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA				
2	CC	OLUMBIA DIVISION				
3						
4						
5	THE SOUTH CAROL	INA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NAACP,				
	et al.,					
6						
	Plai	Plaintiffs,				
7						
	vs. (	CASE NO.: 3:21-CV-03302-MGL-TJH-RMG				
8						
	THOMAS C. ALEXAN	NDER, et al.,				
9						
	Defe	endants.				
10						
11						
12	DEPOSITION OF:	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN				
		(APPEARING VIA VIRTUAL ZOOM)				
13		7				
14	DATE:	August 2, 2022				
14	TIME:	10:52 AM				
15	IIME:	10:52 AM				
13	LOCATION OF					
16	THE DEPONENT:	Rankin & Rankin Law Firm				
10	THE DEFORMAT.	201 Beaty Street				
17		Conway, SC				
18	TAKEN BY:	Counsel for the Plaintiffs				
19	REPORTED BY:	TERRI L. BRUSSEAU				
		(APPEARING VIA VIRTUAL ZOOM)				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	I .					

Page 130

plan that, again, through all the vetting that it underwent before, you would not want to run away from that for the voting public and, you know, constituent consistency, effectively the -- not that just the way because you've done it the way you've done it means you can't venture beyond, but that would not be a smart move.

And, in fact, we -- there's a document you've generated, I hadn't seen it before yesterday, I think, about the various plans that the lead that NAACP, perhaps even Senator Harpootlian's, that compare that -- the split of counties and VTD's, but it wouldn't -- it wouldn't make sense to upset that and undo what has been effectively abided by and adopted by the courts for ten years.

Q. Well, yeah, I -- I appreciate that.

I'm not really asking about that. My hypothetical was if a staff member told you they just ignored, for example, the consideration of minimizing county splits and instead maximized them, purely hypothetical, I'm just asking you, would that have been a red line to you? Would you have said, no, we cannot adopt that map because it overtly violates a guideline?

Page 290

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Terri L. Brusseau, Notary Public for the State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 12th day of August 2022 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.



Terri L. Brusseau, Court Reporter
My Commission expires
April 5, 2026.

						Page 294
4 South	Carolina S	State Confe	rence Of	The NA	ACP v M	icmaster Et
Senator	Luke A. Ra	nkin (#535	0995)			
	E	RRATA	SHE	ЕТ		
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				-
						-
		CHANGE				-
REASON						-
		CHANGE				-
REASON_						- -
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				-
REASON_						-
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				-
REASON_						-
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE				-
REASON_						<del>.</del>
	Luke A. Ra	nkin				Date

	Page 295					
1	4 South Carolina State Conference Of The NAACP v Mcmaster Et Al					
2	Senator Luke A. Rankin (#5350995)					
3	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT					
4	I, Senator Luke A. Rankin, do hereby declare that I					
5	have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any					
6	corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as					
7	noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is					
8	a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony					
9	given by me.					
LO						
11						
12	Senator Luke A. Rankin Date					
13	*If notary is required					
14	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS					
15	, DAY OF, 20					
L 6						
L 7						
L 8						
L 9	NOTARY PUBLIC					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						